

CASE 4:01-cv-00295
Adams, Plaintiff v. USA, Defendants

Barry Adams, pro Se
Box 8574
Missoula, Mt. 59807
(msg/fax) 406 825 0044

UNITED STATES COURTS
DISTRICT OF IDAHO

OCT 29 2001

Clerk of Court

Cameron S. Burke

Wendy Messuri

Deputy Clerk

U.S. District Court of Idaho, Chief Judge Winmill, presiding,

SEARCHED _____
INDEXED _____ FILED _____

re: Address Clarification - re: Non-delivery of Court "Notice"

Howdy,

I was on the USCourts internet site for District of Idaho, researching cases, when I chanced to look up my own case and discovered, on this website:

<http://www.id.uscourts.gov/ECM/dc_images/_01H0R5INP10079134.pdf> a

"Notice to Pro Se Litigants of the Summary Judgement Rule Requirements" issued by Judge Winmill on August 29, 2001.

I did not receive this "Notice" from the Court. I call the Clerk of Court and the Judge's attention to this matter.

The address at the bottom Barry Adams Stanley, Idaho was a temporary address, and I have filed papers, with this Court, with my permanent address, Box 8574, Missoula, Mt. 59807. On my "original" papers filed in this case is my permanent address listed, and I have received papers filed by the Defendants at this Box 8574, Missoula, Mt. address

To this date, I have not received this "Notice" from the Court and would appreciate doing so, as soon as possible.

This "Notice", would have been extremely helpful to me, in preparation of my "Response to Defendants' Motion To Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary

Judgment": filed September 8, 2001, with this Court. I am unsure of the Court's ability to rule in my case when such omission of information to a 'pro Se litigant' have taken place.

I contacted via phone, your offices, and was informed I must file in writing this information. I am sending you this formal clarification, to clear up any confusion:


- (a) so that correspondence, from the Court, will reach me
- (b) please inform the Judge I have as not yet received this "Notice".

Sending this clarification is for the purpose of receiving this "Notice", from the Court. Though this letter indicates plaintiff has "sited" this "Notice", the website is informal and may not be accurate, therefore legal balance must require me to request a formal delivery of this "Notice" via mail etc..

I would like to express my thanks to whoever posts web information, for the Court; without this posting and my subsequent discovery, an important element of my case might not have come to my attention.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

DATED this October 25, 2001


BARRY ADAMS, pro Se

cc. AMANDA QUESTER

United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
Attorneys for the Federal Defendants